Citation: R. v. Captain S.H. Abu-Ghosh, 2008 CM 1019

**Docket:** 200771

DISCIPLINARY COURT MARTIAL CANADA ONTARIO 8 WING TRENTON

**Date:** 16 June 2008

PRESIDING: COLONEL M. DUTIL, C.M.J.

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

v.

**CAPTAIN S. M. ABU-GHOSH** 

## DECISION ON PLEA IN BAR OF TRIAL APPLICATION FOR THE COURT LACKING JURISDICTION (QR&O ARTS 112.05(5)(b) AND 112.24) (Rendered orally)

- Pursuant to the application made by the defence that this court has no jurisdiction with regard to the fact that the accused has indicated that he doesn't wish to be tried by a Disciplinary Court Martial and that the Court Martial Appeal Court decision in *Trepanier* delivered on April 24, 2008 binds this court, and considering that the prosecution also agrees that, the accused having indicated that he doesn't wish to be tried by a Disciplinary Court Martial, the court has no jurisdiction to continue; the court, in adopting the reasoning by my colleague Judge d'Auteuil in *R. v. Strong* delivered on 15 May 2008, will grant the application presented by defence and terminate the proceedings on all charges pursuant to QR&O 112.24(6).
- [2] For the record, the court is of the view that it is regrettable that judicial resources, as well as counsel and support staff, including panel members, had to come here today. Based on the prosecution's position this, in my view, could have been avoided considering the authority and powers vested in the prosecution provided at section 165.12 (2) and (3) of the *National Defence Act*, in particular, subsection (3) which states, and I quote:

## Withdrawing a charge does not preclude it from being proceeded with at any subsequent time....

Colonel M. Dutil Chief Military Judge

## Counsel:

Major J.J. Samson, Regional Military Prosecutions Atlantic Counsel for Her Majesty The Queen Captain D. Morel, 33 Canadian Brigade Group Headquarters Assistant Counsel for Her Majesty The Queen Lieutenant-Commander J. McMunagle, Directorate of Defence Counsel Services Counsel for Captain Abu-Ghosh