



COURT MARTIAL

Citation: *R. v. Slusarchuk*, 2010 CM 2007

Date: 20100525

Docket: 201004

Standing Court Martial

Asticou Centre Courtroom
Gatineau, Québec, Canada

Between:

Her Majesty the Queen

- and -

Ex-Sapper T.A. Slusarchuk, Offender

Before: Commander Lamont, M.J.

REASONS FOR SENTENCE

(Orally)

[1] The courts of appeal across Canada, including the Court Martial Appeal Court in the case of *Private Taylor*, have held that the joint submission of counsel as to sentence, should be accepted by a sentencing court unless to do so would bring the administration of justice into disrepute, or the proposed sentence is otherwise contrary to the public interest. On the basis of all the circumstances that I have been made aware of, I cannot say that the sentence proposed jointly by counsel would either bring the administration of justice into disrepute or is otherwise contrary to the public interest, and I, therefore, accept the joint recommendation of counsel.

[2] Mr Slusarchuk, you are sentenced to a severe reprimand and a fine in the amount of \$1,500 payable forthwith.

Counsel:

Lieutenant-Colonel M. Trudel, Canadian Military Prosecution Services
Counsel for Her Majesty the Queen

Major B. Tremblay, Directorate of Defence Counsel Services
Counsel for ex-Sapper Slusarchuk